



Risky Business: Model Testing and Development

May 21, 2024



PRESENTATION DISCLAIMER

Presentations are intended for educational purposes only and do not replace independent professional judgment. Statements of fact and opinions expressed are those of the speakers individually and, unless expressly stated to the contrary, are not the opinion or position of the Society of Actuaries, the American Academy of Actuaries, the Actuarial Club of Hartford & Springfield, or the speakers' respective employers.

SPEAKERS



 $\left|\times\right|$

in

Kevin Carr, FSA, MAAA Founder & Principal at Carr Actuarial

Expertise: actuarial modeling including (re)building, testing, and ongoing management, universal life pricing and product development, experience studies, and reinsurance

Education: Master of Science in Mathematics from Northeastern University

Software: AXIS™, MG-ALFA, TAS

Languages: VBA, Python, R, SQL, C++



 $\left[\times\right]$

Matt Heaphy, FSA, MAAA Head of Pricing & Risk Analytics at Martello Re

Expertise: data science, experience studies, annuity pricing and product development, actuarial modeling, hedging, and reinsurance.

Education: Bachelor of Science degree in Actuarial Science from the University of Connecticut.

Open-source contributions: <u>actxps</u> (an actuarial experience study toolkit for R and <u>Python</u>), <u>offsetreg</u> (a predictive modeling R package for offset terms).

AGENDA PART 1



1 ASOP 56 & MDLC



ASOP 56: A BRIEF REVIEW

ASOP 56

Modeling

ASOP 56 can be interpreted to apply whenever a model is involved

Purpose [Section 1.1]

"This actuarial standard of practice (ASOP or standard) provides guidance to actuaries when performing actuarial services with respect to designing, developing, selecting, modifying, using, reviewing, or evaluating models."

Scope [Section 1.2] All practice areas when performing actuarial services to the extent of the services provided

Definitions [Section 2]

Thirteen definitions covering items like assumption, data, input, model, model run, and output

Analysis of Issues and Recommended Practices [Section 3]

Main body covering model meeting intended purpose, understanding the model, reliance on others, mitigation of model risk, and documentation

Communications & Disclosures [Section 4]

Required disclosures, reference to ASOPs 23 & 41, recommended additional disclosures

ASOP 56: DEFINITION OF A MODEL

mirror object to mirro mror_mod.mirror_object Peration == "MIRROR_X": Fror_mod.use_x = True Fror_mod.use_y = False Operation == "MIRROR_Y" Fror_mod.use_x = False Operation == "MIRROR_Y" Fror_mod.use_x = False Operation == "MIRROR_Z" Fror_mod.use_x = False Fror_mod.use_y = False Fror_mod.use_y = Talse Fror_mod.use_y = False Fror_mod.use_y = Talse Fror_mod.use_y = Talse

election at the end -add ob.select= 1 er_ob.select=1 ntext.scene.objects.action "Selected" + str(modifie rror_ob.select = 0 bpy.context.selected_ob ta.objects[one.name].se

munt("please select exactle

--- OPFRATOR CLASSES ----

ypes.Operator): X mirror to the selecte ect.mirror_mirror_X" or X"

ontext): oxt.active_object is not

2.8 MODEL

A simplified representation of relationships among real world variables, entities, or events using statistical, financial, economic, mathematical, non-quantitative, or scientific concepts and equations. A model consists of three components: an information **input** component, which delivers data and assumptions to the model; a processing component, which transforms **input** into **output**; and a results component, which translates the **output** into useful business information.

ASOP 56: MITIGATING MODEL RISK

"Actuary should evaluate model risk and ... take reasonable steps to mitigate"

	Section	Key points
ال * * * *	3.6.1 Model testing	Certain testing activities of model may be reasonable including input validation, formula checking, sensitivity testing, and output reconciliation
	3.6.2 Model output validation	Activities focused on output including A/E analysis, implications of different hold-out periods for predictive models, assumption change implications, and alternative model output comparisons
	3.6.3 Review by another professional	Having another qualified professional review may be appropriate
	3.6.4 Reasonable governance & controls	Governance and controls do not need to be directly tied to actuary utilizing model or output
	3.6.5 Mitigating misuse and misinterpretation	ASOP 41 provides additional guidance
	3.7 Documentation	Document. Document.

MODEL DEVELOPMENT LIFE CYCLE

Two common approaches in actuarial projects are Waterfall and Agile

Waterfall



٠

- Big bang approach
- Full requirements
 up front
- Less flexible than Agile
 - **Once** through entire sequence



- Incremental approach
- Less initial planning
- Less rigid than Waterfall
- Numerous cycles over the course of the project

2 TESTING & PITFALLS



COMMON TESTING ACTIVITIES & PITFALLS

Examples of highlighted items later in presentation

Testing Activities	Pitfalls		
Dynamic validation	Insufficient granularity		
Static validation	Not comprehensive		
Input validation	Lack of documentation		
Calculation validation			
Single cell/policy testing	Invalid source of truth		
Regression testing	Inconsistent execution/application		
Sensitivity testing	Lack of automation		
Implied rate analysis			
Attribution analysis			
Comparison to alternative models			
Review by another professional	And the biggest Not regularly testing your model		



PITFALLS IN ACTION: DYNAMIC VALIDATION

PITFALLS IN ACTION: DYNAMIC VALIDATION

What about now?





PITFALLS IN ACTION: SINGLE CELL TESTING

A common approach is to utilize inputs structured for models in downstream testing tools



What sort of issues can arise in this workflow?

In general?

How can we protect against them?

PITFALLS IN ACTION: IMPLIED RATE ANALYSIS (1/2)

What is happening between durations 11 and 12? Is something wrong?

Implied mortality rate per 1,000



PITFALLS IN ACTION: IMPLIED RATE ANALYSIS (2/2)

A large lapse event for an older age cohort is creating the aggregate distortion – no actual problem!



4 MODEL TESTING/REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS



MODEL TESTING/REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS



Review documentation

• Know where the model came from

• Learn the limitations



Waterfall changes

- Estimate impact ahead of time if possible
- Review incremental updates for reasonability



Have a required testing/review framework

- Static validation
- Input validation on new inputs
- Calculation validation on new functionality
- Single cell testing
- Model regression testing
- Review of items changed in model

Martello Re

Open Source Model Considerations

In-house actuarial models built with open source software are increasingly common.

What best practices can we learn from software developers?

Agenda

- 00 What do we mean by "open source"?
- 01 Unit testing
- **02** Version control
- **03** Dependency management



What do we mean by "open source"?

True Open Source

Free to anyone

Complete access to source code



Communal

"As-is" / use at your own risk





Open Systems

Proprietary or vendorprovided

Authorized users have complete access to source code



Can be built with open source tools

Vendor "open" systems

Requires model governance and controls



Open source ≠ open systems built with open source tools

But for the purposes of this talk, we're going to use "open source" as shorthand



Unit Testing

What are Unit Tests?

Automated tests to verify that individual components of a program are working correctly

- Calculation tests *Does my PV function return the correct value?*
- Sanity checks Are mortality rates between 0 and 1?
- Error handling tests
- *Is an error returned if I pass text to a numeric input?*
- Regression tests *Does my model return the same results as before?*

Unit tests are the first line of defense for catching bugs and verifying that future changes haven't broken anything



Popular Unit Testing Frameworks







class TestPolExpo():

```
def test_min_expo(self):
    assert all(study_py.data.exposure >= 0)
def test_max_expo(self):
    assert all(study_py.data.exposure <= 1)
def test_no_na(self):
    assert study_py.data.exposure.isna().sum() == 0
def test_full_expo_targ(self):
    assert all(study_py.data.loc[study_py.data.status == "Surrender"] == 1)
```



est_that("Policy year exposure checks", {
<pre>expect_gt(min(study_py\$exposure), 0)</pre>
<pre>expect_lte(max(study_py\$exposure), 1)</pre>
<pre>expect_equal(sum(is.na(study_py\$exposure)), 0)</pre>
<pre>expect_true(all(study_py\$exposure[study_py\$status == "Surrender"] == 1))</pre>

R Case Study

Assume we need a function that calculates life annuity present values (\ddot{a}_x)

Function design

- Inputs for age, gender, and a discount rate
- Annual payments at the beginning of each projection year
- Mortality = 2012 IAM Basic

> q	x iam	b						
# A	tibb	le:	242 ×	3				
	age		qx	gender				
	<int></int>		<dbl></dbl>	<chr></chr>				
1	0	0.0	01 <u>80</u>	Female				
2	1	0.0	0045	Female				
3	2	0.0	00287	Female				
4	3	0.0	00199	Female				
5	4	0.0	00152	Female				
6	5	0.0	00139	Female				
7	6	0.0	0013	Female				
8	7	0.0	00122	Female				
9	8	0.0	00105	Female				
10	9	0.0	00098	Female				
# i	232	nore	rows					
# i	Use	pri	nt(n =)`	to	see	more	rows

```
annuity_calc.R
library(tidyverse)
library(actxps)
ax ← function(iss_age, i_gender, disc_rate) {
  qx ← qx_iamb ▷
   filter(gender = i_gender, age ≥ iss_age) ▷
    pull(qx)
  tpx \leftarrow cumprod(1 - qx)
  vt 
(1 + disc_rate) ^ -seq_along(tpx)
  1 + sum(tpx * vt)
```

Informal testing



Reasonable results are returned

ax(50, "Female", 0.05)

[1] 17.0648

Higher mortality for males

ax(50, "Male", 0.05)

[1] 16.53845

Higher mortality at older ages

ax(70, "Male", 0.03)

[1] 13.58862

Lower discount rates, higher present values

ax(50, "Male", 0.03)

[1] 21.45274

Introducing the testthat package

testthat provides functions for writing and running tests

Testing Workflow

- Create a **tests**/ directory
- Save scripts containing unit tests into **tests**/
- Run tests
 - One file at a time: test_file("tests/test-{name}.R")
 - An entire directory: test_dir("tests")
 - When developing an R package:
 devtools::test()

Unit Test Structure



tes

that

Annuity Factor Unit Tests 1/2

A regression test



Annuity Factor Unit Tests 2/2





| Slide no: | 32 5/15/2024

Running tests in a single file





A test doomed to fail

Can you spot the problem?





Hint:

> qx_iamb	
# A tibble: 242 ×	3
age qx	gender
<int> <dbl></dbl></int>	SCIII >
1 0 0.001 <u>80</u>	Female
2 1 0.000 <u>45</u>	Female
3 2 0.000 <u>28</u> 7	Female
4 3 0.000 <u>19</u>	Female
5 4 0.000 <u>1 i2</u>	Female
6 50.000 <u>1.9</u>	Female
7 6 0.000 <u>13</u>	Female
8 7 0.000 <u>12.</u>	Female
9 8 0.000 <u>105</u>	Female
10 9 0.000 <u>098</u>	Female
# i 232 more rows	
<pre># i Use `print(n =</pre>)` to see more rows

Testing failures

Testing a directory



Dealing with failure 1/3



Write more robust functions to automatically catch bad inputs and return informative error messages



Dealing with failure 2/3

Write a test to capture errors



Dealing with failure 3/3

Run tests again and verify a successful outcome



Advanced: Unit Testing & Package Development

Unit testing is an integral component of package development and can be fully automated into routine workflows.

- devtools::test(): A single command to run all tests against the current version of the package
- Configure tests to run automatically:
 - Whenever the package is quality checked using R's package checking program
 - Upon creation of a pull request



Unit Testing Advice





Putting in the upfront work to create robust unit tests can substantially improve the quality and stability of solutions built using open source tools.

Version Control







Distributed Version Control System



Tracks changes to code



Manages incorporation of changes

Benefits of Git



	Discipline and Control	Identify changes immediately Require approval and testing		
* **	Stability	Reproduce prior results, or revert to prior versions if necessary		
Ø	Single source of truth	User A and user B are using consistent, tested versions		
淡	Encourage exploration	Safely develop new features in a walled-off environment		

A code-free primer on working with Git

Definitions

- **Repository ("repo")**: All the code associated with a particular project
- **Branch**: A copy of the repository that was created for a specific purpose, usually to make a change in a walled-off development environment
- **"Main" Branch**: The tested / approved / locked-down production version of the code
- **Remote**: The centralized official location of the repository, hosted in a service like <u>GitHub</u>
- Local: A local copy of the repository on your computer







Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and save changes
- 3. Commit changes
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge







Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- **1.** Create a branch: update the local copy of main* to ensure it's up-to-date with the remote.
- 2. Make and save changes
- 3. Commit changes
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge





Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- Create a branch: update the local copy of main* to ensure it's up-to-date with the remote. Create a development branch.
- 2. Make and save changes
- 3. Commit changes
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge



Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and changes: Update code as needed.
- 3. Commit changes
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge







Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and changes
- **3.** Commit changes: Formally log changes, telling Git these changes are "good to go".
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge







Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and changes
- **3. Commit changes**: Formally log changes, telling Git these changes are "good to go". Repeat as needed.
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge







Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and changes
- 3. Commit changes
- **4. Push commits**: Publish the development branch to the remote.
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge





Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and changes
- 3. Commit changes
- 4. Push commits
- Pull request: Submit a request asking for changes in the development branch to be merged into main.
- 6. Merge







Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and changes
- 3. Commit changes
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- **6.** Merge: If approved, commits from the development branch are merged into the main branch.







Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and changes
- 3. Commit changes
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge: If approved, commits from the development branch are merged into the main branch. Its job complete, the development branch is typically deleted.







Making changes requires more than Ctrl+S

- 1. Create a branch
- 2. Make and changes
- 3. Commit changes
- 4. Push commits
- 5. Pull request
- 6. Merge
- Wrap-up (optional): For good measure, synch up the local version of main with the remote





Learning Curve and Best Practices

It takes time to learn Git

- Command line program
- R Studio and VS Code have GUI's use them

It's a double-edged sword

- Everyday mistakes can be rolled back
- However, after a pull request, Git sins are recorded in the repository's history

Best practices

- Exclude files that shouldn't be tracked
- Never commit large files
- Have robust review and approval process





THIS IS GIT. IT TRACKS COLLABORATIVE WORK ON PROJECTS THROUGH A BEAUTIFUL DISTRIBUTED GRAPH THEORY TREE MODEL.

COOL. HOU DO WE USE IT?

NO IDEA. JUST MEMORIZE THESE SHELL COMMANDS AND TYPE THEM TO SYNC UP. IF YOU GET ERRORS, SAVE YOUR WORK ELSEWHERE, DELETE THE PROJECT, AND DOUNLOAD A FRESH COPY.

Dependency Management

Third Party Dependency Risks

and

Environment Management

The isoband incident

A dramatic near-miss for R users that you haven't heard about





October 2022 A small technical issue in a single R package triggered a dependency contagion that momentarily threatened the availability of 25% of all R packages!

Third Party Dependency Advice

Third party dependency risks are easy to neglect and require a risk assessment



Inspect your dependencies

- How mature is the dependent package, and when was the last update?
- Is there a large, existing user base?
- Who is maintaining the package?
- Does the package contain sufficient unit test coverage?
- Have you verified the package works as intended for your purpose?



- What happens if a dependency is no longer available from a public repository?
- Is there an alternative public repository?
- Does your company have an internally mirror repository?
- Are there alternative packages?





Advanced: Environment Management

"But it works for me!" - everyone who uses open source, at some point



What is an environment?

A collection of packages, utilities, and functions that your project depends upon.

Examples

- R and Python language versions
- R and Python packages
- C++ header files



What's the risk?

A new feature or a change in a package leads to different results, and your team is using inconsistent package versions.

Example

• R's native pipe, |>, requires v4.1

3

What's the solution?

Use virtual environment management software to create project-specific environments with locked down version requirements

Available software

- Python: venv, conda, virtualenv
- R: renv

For serious production use-cases, environments must be locked down to guarantee everyone is using identical versions of third-party packages.



Wrap-Up

Recap

3 best practices we can glean from software developers





DependencyManagement





Thank you

